

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

DONALD J. TRUMP, et al.,  
Plaintiffs,  
v.  
YOUTUBE LLC, et al.,  
Defendants.

Case No. [21-cv-08009-JSW](#)

**ORDER DENYING MOTION TO  
CONSOLIDATE AND INTERVENE  
AND DENYING MOTION FOR  
RECONSIDERATION**

Re: Dkt. No. 76, 92


Now before the Court is a submission by David Andrew Christenson, a pro se third-party, entitled Motion for Leave to File - Motion to Consolidate and Motion to Intervene and Join. (Dkt. No. 76.) The Court has previously permitted the filing of the first three pages of the filing and struck the attachments. (Dkt. No. 75.) Also before the Court is Mr. Christenson's motion for reconsideration of the Court's order striking the attachments. (Dkt. No. 92.)

The Court DENIES Mr. Christenson's motion to intervene in this matter on the basis that his submissions do not "state the grounds for intervention" and are not "accompanied by a pleading that sets out the claim or defense for which intervention is sought." Fed. R. Civ. P. 24(c). The Court again finds that the attachments filed in conjunction with Mr. Christenson's motions to be redundant, immaterial, impertinent, and scandalous. *See* Fed. R. Civ. P. 12(f)(1). Accordingly, the Court DENIES the motion for reconsideration of the Court's order striking the attachments.

1 The Court instructs Mr. Christenson to refrain from filing additional, similar materials.  
2 The materials already submitted in conjunction with Mr. Christenson's previous filings will not be  
3 entered in the Court record.

4  
5 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

6 Dated: November 4, 2021

7   
8 JEFFREY S. WHITE  
9 United States District Judge

10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
United States District Court  
Northern District of California